Morgan Lewis

THE LIFE SCIENCES GROWTH SERIES

Early Stage Commercial Agreements

David Glazer, Key Shin, and Amanda Goceljak | December 11, 2018

Early Stage Commercial Agreements

Topics Covered:

- 1. Confidential Disclosure Agreements
- 2. Material Transfer Agreements
- 3. Consulting Agreements
- 4. Evaluation/Feasibility Agreements
- 5. Master Services Agreement

Why Have a Written Confidentiality Agreement?

- Confidentiality agreements are standard and an expected part of most negotiated deals
- Protection of trade secrets under state law can be lost (deemed waived) if they are disclosed without a written agreement
- Written contracts are typically easier to enforce
- They avoid confusion over what the parties consider to be confidential
- The parties can specify what they expect from each other



When to Enter into a Confidentiality Agreement

- As soon as possible
- Prior to disclosure of any confidential information

PRO TIP: If disclosures of confidential information have been made prior to entering into a confidentiality agreement, make sure that the confidentiality agreement specifically covers all prior disclosures

Are You More Likely to Receive or Disclose Information?

- Whether you are primarily disclosing confidential information or receiving confidential information will drive some of your strategy for the structure of the confidentiality agreement
- A Licensor's interest is different from a Licensee's interest
- <u>Licensee's Interest</u>:
 - A Licensee typically wants the exclusions from confidential information to be as broad as possible and permission to disclose all confidential information to Licensee's advisors and representatives
- <u>Licensor's Interest</u>:
 - A Licensor typically wants the Licensee's exclusions to be as narrow as possible
 - Contain other restrictions such as non-solicit or non-compete

Unilateral

VS.

Mutual

Positive:

Restricts the disclosing party only

Challenges:

- Does not protect confidential information of the other party that may be disclosed later
- Does not protect nonbusiness information (such as deal terms or deal process) that both parties will likely want to keep confidential

Positives:

- Protects confidential information of both parties
- Protects nonbusiness information about the actual deal
- Provides a more balanced form that typically results in a faster review and signing process

Challenge:

 Imposes restrictions on both parties to the transaction, regardless of which party has more leverage in the deal

Contents of a Confidentiality Agreement

- Parties to the confidentiality agreement
- Definition of confidential information
- Exceptions to confidentiality
- Permitted use and restrictions on disclosure
- Term
- Return of confidential information
- Remedies
- Other covenants/provisions

PRO TIP: To protect confidential information, the disclosing party should carefully manage the disclosure process and consider additional confidentiality procedures for extremely secretive information

Parties to the Confidentiality Agreement

- The principal parties to the transaction are the Licensor and Licensee.
- Most confidentiality agreements limit disclosures to third parties but permit disclosures on some basis to "Affiliates" and "Representatives" (both of which are negotiated terms).
- The disclosing party typically asks that the recipient be responsible for unauthorized disclosures by its Representatives.

Definition of "Confidential Information"

- Defining what is confidential is central to any confidentiality agreement
- The types of matters that are typically categorized as confidential include:
 - Scientific, technical or business information
 - Derivatives of scientific, technical or business information
 - The contemplated transaction itself, including any terms
- Exceptions to Confidentiality
 - Is or becomes public other than through a breach of the confidentiality agreement by the recipient
 - Was available to the recipient on a nonconfidential basis before disclosure
 - Was already in the recipient's possession
 - Becomes available through a third party not bound by a confidentiality agreement or obligation
 - Is independently developed by the recipient without using the confidential information

Other Common Exceptions to Confidentiality

- Disclosures required by law
 - Confidentiality agreements usually allow the recipient to disclose confidential information if required to do so by court order or other legal process
 - The recipient usually has to notify the disclosing party of any such order and cooperate with the disclosing party to obtain a protective order

PRO TIP: In negotiating a confidentiality agreement, always keep in mind the distinction between restrictions on disclosure and restrictions on use.

Term

- Indefinite or termination upon a certain date or event
- Depends on the type of information involved and how fast such information changes
- Disclosing parties typically prefer an indefinite period
- Recipients typically prefer a set term

Return of Confidential Information

- Confidentiality agreements typically provide for the return of confidential information in the following circumstances:
 - On the termination of negotiations between the parties
 - At the end of the term of the agreement
 - At any time upon the disclosing party's request
- Recipients often want:
 - The option to destroy the confidential information instead of returning it to the disclosing party
 - To include language that allows them to keep copies of the confidential information for archival or evidentiary purposes or if required to do so under law or professional standards

PRO TIP: Disclosing parties should make sure they have rights to the return of their confidential information or an adequate process to confirm destruction or archival under satisfactory procedures.

Other Covenants/Provisions

- Injunctive relief in addition to monetary damages
- No Representations or Warranties
 - The disclosing party may clarify that it makes no representations or warranties with respect to any
 of the disclosed information. If the recipient claims that the information is incomplete or inaccurate
 in any way, the disclosing party points to this clause to avoid liability.
- No license granted
- Obligation to inform of unauthorized disclosure
- No further obligations
- Keeping quiet about the deal
- Residual rights

Miscellaneous Provisions

- Often viewed as "standard" or "boilerplate" but may have unintended consequences, restricting the Buyer's activities in the industry and the Buyer's options as the negotiations progress, even if a transaction never materializes
- Terms may prove very consequential in the event of a subsequent dispute between the parties
- Common topics include: (a) Entire agreement; (b) Assignment, especially the ability of Seller to assign agreement to another acquiror of business; (c) Choice of law and jurisdiction; (d) Waiver of jury trials; (e) Availability of equitable relief; (f) Notice provisions; (g) Amendments and waivers; (h) Override of other confidentiality agreements

What is an MTA?

- Agreement for purposes of documenting the transfer of materials (e.g., biological or chemical) from one party to another
- Often arises in context of university researchers receiving materials to seek to replicate results achieved another lab
- Life sciences start-ups receive needed materials from university labs
- Addresses rights and obligations with respect to the use of the transferred materials
- Includes provisions addressing ownership of the materials and sometimes also IP arising from the use of the transferred materials

Different Varieties of MTAs

- Uniform Biological Material Transfer Agreement (UBMTA) NIH endorsed
- Institutional Forms (drafted by the providing institution)
- Company Forms
- Repository Forms (e.g., ATCC American Type Culture Collection)
- Electronic MTAs on-line click-through acceptance of terms

Reasons for an MTA

- The provider wants to restrict how the material is to be used
- The material is infectious, hazardous or subject to special regulations
- The provider wishes to protect against any potential liability
- The provider wishes to ensure that correct and appropriate acknowledgement is included in any publication regarding the use of the material
- The material is <u>proprietary*</u>
- The provider wishes to obtain <u>rights to the results**</u> of the research for which the material is to be used

Important Considerations in an MTA

- Definitions of "Material"
 - Usually includes original material, progeny and unmodified derivatives
 - Usually excludes modifications and modified derivatives but not always

- Restrictions on recipient's use of the Material
 - for *research use only*
 - not for use in humans

Important Considerations in an MTA (continued)

- Ownership of Material provider retains ownership; recipient only has right to possess and use for research
 - Consider impact of provider owning the Material
 - Critical to review how *Material* is defined

Important Considerations in an MTA (continued)

- Confidentiality need clarity on scope of confidentiality obligations
 - continued development permitted
 - publication not restricted
- Warranty disclaimer
- Indemnification
- Restriction on reverse engineering
- Scope Creep MTA's can but probably should not be used as a substitute for an evaluation agreement or research collaboration

Why Have a Consulting Agreement:

- Govern contributions by non-employees
 - Similar to a Proprietary Information and Invention Agreement (PIAA)
- Coverage for gaps in CDA
 - IP provisions
 - Diligence obligations
 - Regulatory and Compliance terms

Who Enters into a Consulting Agreement:

- Individuals
 - Consultants
 - Advisors
 - Occasionally Founders
- Organizations
 - Vendors
- Avoid misclassifying an employee as a Consultant

When to Enter into a Consulting Agreement:

- As soon as possible similar to CDA
- Prior to commencement of any work by the Consultant

PRO TIP: If consultant or advisor has provided services to the Company prior to entering into a consulting agreement, make sure that the consulting agreement specifically covers any prior work and all resulting IP

Scope of Services:

- What are the Services to be performed?
 - Specificity vs. Flexibility
- How often will the Services be provided?
- Where will the Services be performed?
 - Company Facility vs. Offsite
- How will compensation be structured?
 - Hourly (FTE) Rates
 - Flat Fee

IP Provisions:

- Contribution of IP by Consultant
- Work Product / Deliverables
- Arising IP
 - Inventorship
 - Obligation to Disclose
- Assignment and Assumption
 - Obligation to assign
 - Present grant ("hereby grants")
- Further Assurances

Confidentiality:

- Similar concerns to CDA
- Special concerns:
 - Part-time Consultants
 - On-site Consultants

PRO TIP: Even under a consulting agreement, share information on a "need-to-know" basis and physically segregating visiting consultants from your confidential information outside scope of Services or areas where such information is visible

Term and Termination:

- Term
 - Fixed Term vs Open-Ended / Auto-Renewal
- Termination for convenience
- Termination for cause
 - Breach
 - Bankruptcy
- Incapacity of Consultant
- Effects of Termination

Additional Key Provisions:

- Compliance
 - Applicable laws
 - Data protection/security
 - Company policies
- Insurance
- Indemnification
- Limitation of liability
- Independent contractor

4. Evaluation/Feasibility Agreements

What is an Evaluation/Feasibility Agreement?

- Agreements to test out technologies for compatibility in potential collaborations
- Often involve the transfer of materials, sometimes from both parties
- Usually limited to running the experiments, generating and sharing data and results for the evaluation
- Typically, no compensation these are not generally considered to be arrangements to receive services

4. Evaluation/Feasibility Agreements

Why enter into a Evaluation/Feasibility Agreement?

- This can be the "toe in the door" that can validate the technology and remove the barriers to a broader research collaboration
- From the perspective of the party conducting the evaluation, it can be a way to "lock up" a particular technology or opportunity
 - achieved through exclusive option or exclusive negotiation rights

4. Evaluation/Feasibility Agreements

Important practical considerations and common pitfalls

- Access to data and results mutual is fairest but may not always be appropriate
- Publication rights mutual or not; consider delay for patent filings
 - Can the data generated be used to support patent claims?
- Allocate ownership and/or license rights in data and results of evaluation
- If any exclusivity will be granted, ensure scope is appropriately tailored and appropriately compensated

What is a Master Services Agreement (MSA)?

- Omnibus Agreement
 - Framework of terms and conditions for current and future projects
 - Conduct multiple projects under an MSA
- Broad range of Services
 - Research
 - Clinical and Pre-Clinical Development
 - Distribution
 - Supply
 - Additional Services

Why Have a MSA:

- Long-Term Arrangements
- Convenience
- Efficiency
- Cost-Saving
- Standardization and Quality Control

PRO TIP: Work with counsel to develop a template MSA incorporating the preferred terms and provisions for your Company which can be used as a base to negotiate MSAs with Third Parties for future projects.

What Should a MSA Cover:

- General Responsibilities of the Parties
- Governance and Project Management
- Intellectual Property
- Payment Terms
- Dispute Resolution

What Should a Work Plan Cover:

- Specificity vs. Flexibility
- Services / Activities
 - Responsible Party
 - Timelines
- Background IP
- Deliverables / Work Products
- Financial Terms

Term and Termination:

- Fixed Term vs Open-Ended
- Termination
 - Breach
 - Bankruptcy
 - Convenience

PRO TIP: The Company receiving services under an MSA should aim to retain flexibility to terminate on a Work Plan-by-Work Plan basis in addition to the right to terminate the MSA in its entirety.

Additional Key Provisions in an MSA:

- Reporting and Record Keeping
- Audit Rights
- Confidentiality
- Compliance
- Representations and Warranties
- Liability and Indemnification

Biography



David GlazerPrinceton
T + 1. 609.919.6624
david.glazer@morganlewis.com

David G. Glazer advises and represents life sciences, healthcare, and technology companies in matters throughout the United States, Europe, and Asia. Working closely with clients to understand their businesses, David structures and negotiates deals to meet their objectives and find practical solutions to complex problems. He is the co-editor of the Morgan Lewis Emerging Life Sciences Companies Deskbook. David's clients range from biotechnology startups to global Fortune 100 pharmaceutical companies.

Biography



Key Shin
San Francisco
T + 1. 415.442.1188
Silicon Valley
T + 1. 650.843.4000
key.shin@morganlewis.com

Key Y. Shin works closely with life sciences companies, at all stages of development, variously engaged in developing drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and digital health technologies. He helps clients structure and complete critical transactions, from inlicensing patents that form the foundation of the companies' patent estate, through services arrangements with CMOs, CROs, and other development partners, and eventually commercial partnering of the program, sale of the company, or other exit transaction.

Biography



Amanda Goceljak
Houston
T + 1. 713.890.5772
Princeton
T + 1. 609.919.6629
amanda.goceljak@morganlewis.com

Amanda M. Goceljak represents public and private company clients in a range of US and cross-border transactions, primarily in the life sciences industry. Amanda advises pharmaceutical, biotechnology, medical device, diagnostics, and technology companies in the negotiation and structuring of licensing transactions, complex collaborations, joint ventures, strategic partnering, mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, and supply and distribution arrangements. Amanda also counsels private equity and venture capital clients on private financing transactions and provides general corporate representation.

Our Global Reach

Africa
Asia Pacific
Europe
Latin America
Middle East
North America

Our Locations

Almaty	Chicago
Astana	Dallas
Beijing*	Dubai
Boston	Frankfurt
Brussels	Hartford
Century City	Hong Kong*

Houston	
London	
Los Angeles	
Miami	
Moscow	
New York	

Orange County
Paris
Philadelphia
Pittsburgh
Princeton
San Francisco

Shanghai*	
Silicon Valley	
Singapore	
Tokyo	
Washington,	DC
Wilmington	



Morgan Lewis

*Our Beijing and Shanghai offices operate as representative offices of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. In Hong Kong, Morgan Lewis operates through Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, which is a separate Hong Kong general partnership registered with The Law Society of Hong Kong as a registered foreign law firm operating in Association with Luk & Partners.

THANK YOU

© 2018 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

© 2018 Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC

© 2018 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius UK LLP

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC378797 and is a law firm authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. The SRA authorisation number is 615176.

Our Beijing and Shanghai offices operate as representative offices of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. In Hong Kong, Morgan Lewis operates through Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, which is a separate Hong Kong general partnership registered with The Law Society of Hong Kong as a registered foreign law firm operating in Association with Luk & Partners.

This material is provided for your convenience and does not constitute legal advice or create an attorney-client relationship. Prior results do not guarantee similar outcomes. Attorney Advertising.